Case 3:09-0	V-05456-BHS	Document 263	Filed 09/01/11	Page 1 of 4
	Uni	ted States District	Court	
	Weste	ern District of Was Tacoma Division	shington n	
		<u> </u>		
John Doe #1, et al.,		No. 3:0	09-CV-05456-BHS	S
VS.	Plaintiffs,	The Ho	onorable Benjamin	H. Settle
Sam Reed, et al.,		Motion	n to Continue the	Trial Date
	Defendants.			
		NOTE	ON MOTION CA	LENDAR: September 9,
		<u> </u>		
Mot. to Continue Tria	l	1	Ворр,	Coleson & Bo

Come now Plaintiffs John Doe #1, John Doe #2, and Protect Marriage Washington, and move the Court to continue the trial date. Plaintiffs asked Defendants to agree to this motion but they declined. However, Plaintiffs believe that this motion should be granted for the following reasons:

- 1. On June 29, 2011, Plaintiffs, State Defendants, and both Intervenor-Defendants filed cross motions for summary judgment. Therefore, all parties agree that this case may be resolved on summary judgment.
- 2. As all the facts and issues are before the Court in the form of the summary judgment briefing, Plaintiffs do not believe a trial will be necessary or helpful in order to resolve this case.
- 3. As of the filing of this motion, the cross motions for summary judgment are still pending. Due to the possibility that the Court may resolve the case based on one or more of these motions for summary judgment, and because the additional time and cost necessarily expended to prepare for trial may be fruitless, the trial should be postponed until the summary judgment motions are resolved.
- 4. Even if the case is not resolved upon the pending summary judgment motions, any order or opinion issued by the Court regarding the motions will certainly inform the focus of the trial going forward. Therefore, postponing the start of the trial will preserve resources and promote judicial economy.
- 5. Plaintiffs agree to meet any and all deadlines imposed by the Court up to and including the various September 6, 2011 deadlines. However, if the Court grants this motion, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the pretrial conference be moved to a date corresponding with the start of the trial.
- 6. Plaintiffs also note that, on September 1, 2011, Jared Haynie, the attorney for Plaintiffs who heretofore handled all substantive matters of the case, filed a motion to withdraw from the case (because he accepted other employment). (Dkt. 262.). Mr. Haynie will no longer be employed at Bopp, Coleson & Bostrom effective September 16, 2011. Counsel James Bopp, Jr. anticipated having Mr. Haynie's assistance in preparing for the trial. As such, Mr. Bopp has limited availability leading up to the trial and is unavailable on September 12, 2011, the currently

Mot. to Continue Trial (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS)

BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510 (812) 232-2434

1	scheduled date for the pretrial conference.					
2	Because this case may be resolved on the pending motions for summary judgment or,					
3	alternatively, because the resolution of those motions may affect the focus of the trial, Plaintiffs					
4	therefore pray that the Court grant this motion to continue the trial pending resolution of the					
5	cross motions for summary judgment.					
6						
7	Dated this 1st day of September, 2011.					
8	Respectfully submitted and approved by:					
9	Plaintiffs John Doe #1, John Doe #2, and Protect Marriage Washington:					
10	By: /s/ James Bopp, Jr.					
11	James Bopp, Jr. Attorney for Plaintiffs					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	Mot. to Continue Trial 3 Bopp, Coleson & Bostrom					

Mot. to Continue Trial (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS)

BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM 1 South Sixth Street Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510 (812) 232-2434

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I, James Bopp, Jr., am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the above-captioned action. 3 My business address is 1 South Sixth Street; Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510. On September 1, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document, described as Motion to Continue the Trial Date, with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to: 6 Anne E. Egeler anneel@atg.wa.gov Jay Geck 8 jayg@atg.wa.gov 9 James K. Pharris jamesp@atg.wa.gov Counsel for Defendants Sam Reed and Brenda Galarza 10 11 Steven J. Dixson sjd@wkdlaw.com 12 Duane M. Swinton dms@wkdlaw.com 13 Leslie R. Weatherhead lwlibertas@aol.com 14 Counsel for Intervenor Washington Coalition for Open Government 15 Ryan McBrayer rmcbrayer@perkinscoie.com Kevin J. Hamilton 16 khamilton@perkinscoie.com William B. Staffort 17 wstafford@perkinscoie.com Rhonda L. Barnes 18 rbarnes@perkinscoie.com 19 Counsel for Intervenor Washington Families Standing Together 20 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Indiana that the above is 21 true and correct. Executed this 1st day of September, 2011. 22 23 /s/ James Bopp, Jr. James Bopp, Jr. 24 Counsel for All Plaintiffs 25 26 27 28 Mot. to Continue Trial 4 BOPP, COLESON & BOSTROM (No. 3:09-CV-05456-BHS) 1 South Sixth Street

Terre Haute, Indiana 47807-3510

(812) 232-2434